For the Northern District of California

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	TODD ASHKER and DANNY TROXELL, No. C 05-3286 CW
11	Plaintiffs, ORDER REQUIRING
12	v. PARTIES TO SUBMIT PROPOSED REVISED
13	ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,
14	Defendants.
15	

Plaintiffs Todd Ashker and Danny Troxell have filed a motion to continue the parties' present dates for discovery cut-off, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference and trial. Defendants have filed a statement of non-opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a continuance. However, neither party has submitted a proposed order or proposed new dates, nor have the parties indicated how much more discovery time they believe is needed.

Therefore, the Court hereby stays the current July 11, 2006 discovery deadline and orders the parties to submit, within two weeks of the date of this order, a stipulation and proposed order setting forth a proposed revised schedule. The parties should

Case 4:05-cv-03286-CW Document 57 Filed 07/07/06 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

consult with Deputy Clerk Sheilah Cahill to determine an appropriate new trial date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 7/7/06

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge